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Introduction

White-Nose Syndrome (WNS) is an epizoic fungal disease caused by *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* which has killed millions of Vespertilionidae bats. It was first recorded in 2006 in Schoharie county, NY, with *Myotis lucifugus* bearing the highest mortality rates across infected counties[1]. Studies have shown that WNS spreads by jump dispersal along cave-bearing geological formations, as opposed to simple diffusion from an epicenter[2].

- Spatial spread depended on factors such as the density of caves, species richness and temperature[3].
- *P. destructans* produces co-inocidia (infectious spores) at an optimal temperature range of 12.5°C and 15.8°C[4], coincides with the temperature of the hibernation period of most Vespertilionidae bats[5].
- The data suggests that length of winter is directly proportional to the infection rate of WNS between counties with caves[6].

Based on the previous success of providing a good model for the spread of the disease, we wanted to know whether yearly variations in temperature (weather) is a better environmental factor than climate (average, length of winter) for predicting the spread of White-Nose Syndrome in the contiguous United States.

Hypothesis

Yearly weather is a better environmental factor than climate (average temperature or average length of winter) for predicting the spread of WNS between infected and susceptible caves.

Model

\[ \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot d_{caves} + \beta_2 \cdot t_{winter} + \beta_3 \cdot \text{climate} \]

- \( \beta_0 \): basic rate of infection
- \( \beta_1 \): probability of infection (distance)
- \( \beta_2 \): density/gravity of caves
- \( \beta_3 \): climate (covariate)
- \( t_{winter} \): climate variable
- \( d_{caves} \): distance to caves

Methods

1) Models (from Maher et. al 2012) were fitted with current WNS infection data to determine how their predictions held up with the addition of new data.
2) Values for monthly precipitation, maximum and minimum temperature from 2000 to 2014 were obtained from high resolution satellite data (30 arcseconds) and averages were derived from the mean of values per grid of a county polygon.
3) Data was sorted into yearly to first infection (2006) and year of infection to determine if the weather of year preceding infection had an impact on spread.
4) NLL and AIC were obtained from the MLE parameter sets and used to compare quality of fit.
5) Spatial spread of WNS was simulated based on climate (length of winter, average temperature) versus year-to-year weather to see whether patterns differed.
6) All coding and statistical analysis was done in R software.

Results

Table 1. Percent difference in WNS MLE parameter sets of best models from 2015 to 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>( \beta_0 )</th>
<th>( \beta_1 )</th>
<th>( \beta_2 )</th>
<th>( \beta_3 )</th>
<th>NLL</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravity (Winter)</td>
<td>2.64%</td>
<td>-5.88%</td>
<td>16.10%</td>
<td>10.82%</td>
<td>1662</td>
<td>1666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity (Species)</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
<td>-2.52%</td>
<td>13.44%</td>
<td>9.41%</td>
<td>-2.29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

1) Average length of winter had the best fit based on comparing NLL and AIC values of the models
2) Average temperature had a significantly lower NLL than the yearly weather models, reflecting higher accuracy.
3) All simulations showed distinct peaks in the second decade of infection. This could be attributed to the abundance of caves in the mid-eastern/northern United States.
4) Predicted spread of WNS was fastest in the Average length of winter model (beta.caves.temp.maher).

Future directions

1) Use yearly variation in length of winter to determine if variations may contribute to a closer model fit.
2) Investigate better methods to predict onset of hibernation based on minimum temperature and also note bat hibernation patterns in the various areas, and interspecific differences in hibernation periods and associated temperature.
3) Data on co-occurring species should be used to determine species diversity per county[7].
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